PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY

VIRGINIA



Connie Gibson, Procurement
Manager
1 Center Street Virginia
Phone (434) 432-7744
Website:
www.pittsylvaniacountyva.gov

August 8, 2019

RE: RFP 20190722

The following shall serve as Addendum #1. Please acknowledge receipt of this addendum when submitting your proposal.

Dear Vendor:

1. The RFP due date has been change to: September 6, 2019 at 2:00pm because of all the data needed for review by the firms.

The current NRCS evaluation studies with the current proposed plans and the most recent H&H analysis noted in the RFP have not been uploaded to DCR's database. In order to prepare our submittal for this RFP I would like to review these records. Documents requested: No#1 Cherrystone , No2A- Roaring Fork and Dam #1 & 2A Powerpoint. See link below: (scroll down to Rehabilitation Pans)

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detailfull/va/programs/planning/wr/?cid=STELPRDB1101410

- Please send me a file copy or a link to download the NRCS evaluation (PER) with the proposed plans and design report. Cherrystone Dam 1
- Cherrystone Dam 2A
- Also I would like copies of the most recent hydrologic computer models and a pdf of the Dam Break Inundation Study reports to review. See Cherrystone Dam 1
- Need copy of DCR PMP Analysis and Certification (DCR199-236) which should been completed to compare the new VA PMP rainfall data to the previous National Weather Service PMP data that was used in the dam break inundation studies. See Cherrystone 2A
- 2. While the proposal content section makes reference that the proposal should show a anticipate timeline for the completion of the Phase 1 services, it would be helpful to know what kind of performance period is anticipated as to determine availability of key staff resources for this project. A timeline has not been established.
- 3. In the Scope of Services section, Phase 1, item b. the following statement is included: **However, if the selected firm believes data such as additional surveying or geotechnical sampling and testing is needed; such should be included in the proposal and noted for the reviewing/selecting officials.** It appears evident that without a detailed review of the design basis of NRCS design such a determination cannot be made. The item also refers to the selected firm. For clarification, is the intent that after selection of a firm based on qualifications, during the development of the specific scope of services for Phase 1 the selected firm will have the design basis available and will then determine whether there are gaps that need to be addressed? Scope of Services, Phase 1, paragraph b. delete to highlighted portion of this paragraph. Scope of services, Phase 2, para a. add the following sentence: Perform additional surveying and/or geotechnical sampling and testing as needed to develop detailed design and construction documents based on the approved Phase 1 alternative.
- 4. Proposal Content, Item D: This item is titled "Project, Approach, Work Plan, Cost, Timeline". As outlined in the above bullet, a specific need for additional data and the cost/timeline to obtain such is not known at the time of

proposal development. Certain additional studies may significantly impact timeline and cost. This leads to a situation where at the time of proposal development cost and timeline can only be defined in very general terms. Add the following at front of the first sentence," Based on review of available NRCS data, provide a narrative ...

5. Clarification: Federal requirements must be met of 100% probable maximum PMP/PMF precipitation flow.

Sincerely,

Connis Gibson
Connie Gibson

Purchasing Manager